



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,996		08/21/2003	Chang-Hyen Chun	0630-1655P	7096	
2292	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		T KOLASCH & B	DESIR, PIERRE LOUIS			
	•	VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ŕ			2681		
				DATE MAILED, 06/15/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			/644,996	CHUN, CHANG-HY	/EN				
			aminer	Art Unit					
		Pie	erre-Louis Desir	2681					
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	fress				
Period fo									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will app y will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may an the statutory minimum of the bly and will expire SIX (6) MC et he application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>21 Augus</i>	at 2003.						
·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This acti			•				
3)									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the	application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
, —	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	Applicant may not request that any obj								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	s required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	.	•						
·	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority o	locuments have bee	n received in this National S	Stage				
	application from the Internati	onal Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of th	ne certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachmen									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-048)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) D Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>October 29, 2003</u> .		6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/644,996

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-9, 11-12, 14, 16-20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (Kim), Pub. No. US 20030031119, in view of Hagting et al. (Hagting), U.S. Patent No. 6236860.

Regarding claim 1, Kim discloses a handover processing method for a mobile communication system (see figs. 1-3), the method comprising: requesting a radio link set to a radio network controller (i.e., the SRNC transmits a radio link set up request message) (see page 2, paragraph 20); transmitting a radio link set completion message to the RNC (i.e., transmits a radio link setup response message to the SNRC) (see page 2, paragraph 20); checking whether a reply signal in response to the radio link set completion is received (i.e., determines whether a radio link setup response message has been received) (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90).

Although Kim discloses a method as described, Kim does not to specifically disclose a method comprising performing a backup of a present radio link set and changing the present radio link set, when the radio set request is provided; and reverting the changes radio link set back to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received from the RNC within a certain time duration.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,996

Art Unit: 2681

However, Hagting discloses a handover processing method comprising performing a backup of a present radio link set and changing the present radio link set, when the radio set request is provided (i.e., a call with a remote unit is handed over from a first radio access unit to a second radio access unit by suspending transmission of the remote unit at a first radio link while maintaining the transmission at this first radio link by the first radio access unit is established by the remote unit and the call is resumed at the second radio link after which the radio link is released by the first radio access unit) (see abstract); and reverting the changes radio link set back to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received from the RNC within a certain time duration (i.e., the call at the first radio link is maintained while a second radio link is established. If data over the second link is successfully exchanged in both directions, the first radio link is terminated) (see col. 3, lines 20-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a handover method, which can be used in synchronously and asynchronously operated environments.

Regarding claim 2, Kim discloses a method as described above (see claim 1 rejection).

Although Kim discloses a method comprising checking whether the reply signal is received (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90); retransmitting the radio link set completion message when the reply signal is not received (i.e., as seen in fig. 15, if the radio link setup response is not received, there will be a retransmission) (see fig. 15); checking whether the reply signal is received after the retransmission (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90), Kim does not specifically

disclose a method comprising reverting the radio link set to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received within the first time duration.

However, Hagting discloses a handover processing method comprising reverting the changes radio link set back to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received from the RNC within a certain time duration (i.e., the call at the first radio link is maintained while a second radio link is established. If data over the second link is successfully exchanged in both directions, the first radio link is terminated) (see abstract, col. 3, and lines 20-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a handover method, which can be used in synchronously and asynchronously operated environments.

Regarding claim 4, Kim discloses a handover processing method for a mobile communication system (see figs. 1-3), the method comprising: starting a handover procedure (i.e., once the UE 130 enters the soft handover region, the SRNC 121 recognizes it from a Measurement Report received from the UE 130 and determines to establish new radio links) (see page 2, paragraph 20); requesting a radio link set to a radio network controller (RNC) when the handover procedure starts (i.e., the SRNC transmits a radio link set up request message) (see page 2, paragraph 20); operating a first timer after the changing step (i.e., the reception indicator indicates an action time of transmitting user data) (see page 3, paragraph 22); transmitting a radio link set completion message to the RNC (i.e., transmits a radio link setup response message to the SNRC) (see page 2, paragraph 20) and waiting for a reply signal in response to the radio link set completion message (i.e., determines whether a radio link setup response message has been

received) (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90); and finishing the handover procedure (see page 2, paragraph 20).

Although Kim discloses a method as described, Kim does not specifically disclose a method comprising performing a backup of a present radio link set and changing the present radio link set when the radio link set request is approved; reverting the changed radio link set back to the previous backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received and the first timer has expired.

However, Hagting discloses a handover processing method comprising performing a backup of a present radio link set and changing the present radio link set when the radio link set request is approved (i.e., a call with a remote unit is handed over from a first radio access unit to a second radio access unit by suspending transmission of the remote unit at a first radio link while maintaining the transmission at this first radio link by the first radio access unit is established by the remote unit and the call is resumed at the second radio link after which the radio link is released by the first radio access unit) (see abstract); and reverting the changed radio link set back to the previous backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received and the first timer has expired (i.e., the call at the first radio link is maintained while a second radio link is established. If data over the second link is successfully exchanged in both directions, the first radio link is terminated) (see col. 3, lines 20-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a handover method, which can be used in synchronously and asynchronously operated environments.

Regarding claim 5, Kim discloses a method (see claim 4 rejection) wherein if the reply signal is received before the first timer expires, the finishing step is performed by bypassing the reverting step (i.e., upon receipt of the radio link set up response message, the SNRC establishes a transmission with the active set node) (see fig. 15, and page 8, paragraph 90).

Regarding claim 7, Kim discloses a method (see claim 4 rejection) further comprising retransmitting the radio link set completion message when the reply is not received for a certain time (i.e., as seen in fig. 15, if the radio link setup response is not received, there will be a retransmission) (see fig. 15).

Regarding claim 8, Kim discloses a method (see claim 7 rejection) wherein the retransmitting step includes the sub-steps of: transmitting the radio link set completion message (i.e., transmits a radio link setup response message to the SNRC) (see page 2, paragraph 20) and operating a second timer (see page 6, paragraph 75); checking whether the reply signal is received within an operation time of the second timer (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90); retransmitting the radio link set completion message when the reply signal is not received even though the second timer has expired (i.e., as seen in fig. 15, if the radio link setup response is not received, there will be a retransmission) (see fig. 15); and checking whether the reply signal is received within an operation time of the first timer (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90).

Regarding claim 9, Kim discloses a method (see claim 8 rejection) wherein the second timer is operated at a radio link control layer of user equipment (see page 6, paragraph 75).

Regarding claim 11, Kim discloses a handover processing method for a mobile communication system (see figs. 1-3), the method comprising: requesting a radio link set to a radio network controller (RNC) (i.e., the SRNC transmits a radio link set up request message)

(see page 2, paragraph 20); and operating a first timer (i.e., the reception indicator indicates an action time of transmitting user data) (see page 3, paragraph 22); transmitting a radio link set completion message to the RNC (i.e., transmits a radio link setup response message to the SNRC) (see page 2, paragraph 20) and operating a second timer (see page 6, paragraph 75); checking whether a reply signal in response to the radio link set completion message is received from the RNC (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90); retransmitting the radio link set completion message when the reply signal is not received and the second timer has expired (i.e., as seen in fig. 15, if the radio link setup response is not received, there will be a retransmission) (see fig. 15).

Although Kim discloses a method as described, Kim fails to does not specifically describe a method comprising performing a backup of a present radio link set when the radio link set request is approved and changing the present radio link set; and reverting the changed radio link set back to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received and the first timer has expired.

However, Hagting discloses a handover processing method comprising performing a backup of a present radio link set when the radio link set is approved and changing the present radio link set (i.e., a call with a remote unit is handed over from a first radio access unit to a second radio access unit by suspending transmission of the remote unit at a first radio link while maintaining the transmission at this first radio link by the first radio access unit is established by the remote unit and the call is resumed at the second radio link after which the radio link is released by the first radio access unit) (see abstract); and reverting the changes radio link set back to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received an the first time has

expired (i.e., the call at the first radio link is maintained while a second radio link is established. If data over the second link is successfully exchanged in both directions, the first radio link is terminated) (see col. 3, lines 20-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a handover method, which can be used in synchronously and asynchronously operated environments.

Regarding claim 12, Kim discloses a method (see claim 11 rejection) wherein when the reply signal is received during the first or second timer operation time, the reverting step is bypassed and the handover processing is completed (i.e., upon receipt of the radio link set up response message, the SNRC establishes a transmission with the active set node) (see fig. 15, and page 8, paragraph 90).

Regarding claim 14, Kim discloses a method (see claim 11 rejection) wherein the second timer is operated at a radio link control layer of user equipment (see page 6, paragraph 75).

Regarding claim 16, Kim discloses a method of preventing abnormal handover operation, the method comprising: modifying a current radio link set at a user device and then transmitting a completion message to a network device (see page 2, paragraph 20); checking whether a response signal in response to the completion message is received at the user device (i.e., determines whether a radio link setup response message has been received) (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,996

Art Unit: 2681

Although Kim discloses a method as described, Kim does not to specifically disclose a method comprising reverting the modified radio link set to a backup radio link set if the reply signal is not received within a first time duration.

However, Hagting discloses a handover processing method comprising reverting the changes radio link set back to the backed-up radio link set when the reply signal is not received from the RNC within a certain time duration (i.e., the call at the first radio link is maintained while a second radio link is established. If data over the second link is successfully exchanged in both directions, the first radio link is terminated) (see col. 3, lines 20-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a handover method, which can be used in synchronously and asynchronously operated environments.

Regarding claim 17, Kim discloses a method (see claim 16 rejection) wherein the response signal is an acknowledgement signal from the network device that acknowledges a receipt of the completion message (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90).

Regarding claim 18, Kim discloses a method (see claim 16 rejection) wherein the network device is a radio network controller in a mobile communication system (i.e., RNC) (see abstract).

Regarding claim 19, Kim discloses a method (see claim 16 rejection) wherein, the checking step, the response signal is a confirmation signal that confirms a receipt of an acknowledgement signal from the network device, the acknowledgment signal acknowledging a receipt of the completion message (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90).

Regarding claim 20, Kim discloses a method (see claim 19 rejection) further comprising: checking whether the acknowledgement signal is received within a second time duration (see fig. 15, page 8, paragraph 90); and retransmitting at least once the completion message to the network device if no acknowledgement signal is received during the second time duration (i.e., as seen in fig. 15, if the radio link setup response is not received, there will be a retransmission) (see fig. 15).

Regarding claim 22, Kim discloses a method (see claim 19 rejection) wherein the network device is a radio network controller in a mobile communication system (i.e., RNC) (see abstract).

3. Claims 3, 6, 10, 13, 15, 21, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim and Hagting in further view of Zeira et al. (Zeira), Pub. No. US 20040114574.

Regarding claim 3, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 2 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein the first time duration is the same as or greater than a sum of the second time duration and the retransmission time.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method in which the radio network controller determines if the back-to-back allocation is needed for new data or for new retransmission. For retransmission, the allocation is extended for the duration necessary for the retransmission, wherein maximum and minimum time duration can be defined. In that case the allocated

duration should not exceed the maximum duration (see page 5, paragraphs 80-83). Thus, one skilled in the art would unhesitatingly envision that first duration would be the same as the retransmission time since the retransmission time cannot exceed the maximum time duration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 6, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 4 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein the first timer is operated at a RRC layer of user equipment.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method wherein a timer is operated at a RRC layer of user equipment (i.e., when a configuration or reconfiguration procedure is invoked, the new configuration must take effect at the activation time determined by the RNC RRC) (see page 19, paragraph 556).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 10, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 8 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein the operation time of the first timer is the same as or greater than a sum of the operation time of the second timer and the retransmission time.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method in which the radio network controller determines if the back-to-back allocation is needed for new data or for new retransmission. For retransmission, the allocation is extended for the duration necessary for the retransmission, wherein maximum and minimum time duration can be defined. In that case the allocated duration should not exceed the maximum duration (see page 5, paragraphs 80-83). Thus, one skilled in the art would unhesitatingly envision that first duration would be the same as the retransmission time since the retransmission time cannot exceed the maximum time duration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 13, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 11 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein the first timer is operated at a RRC layer of user equipment.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method wherein a timer is operated at a RRC layer of user equipment (i.e., when a configuration or reconfiguration procedure is invoked, the new configuration must take effect at the activation time determined by the RNC RRC) (see page 19, paragraph 556).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 15, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 11 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein the operation time of the first timer is the same as or greater than a sum of the operation time of the second timer and the retransmission time.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method in which the radio network controller determines if the back-to-back allocation is needed for new data or for new retransmission. For retransmission, the allocation is extended for the duration necessary for the retransmission, wherein maximum and minimum time duration can be defined. In that case the allocated duration should not exceed the maximum duration (see page 5, paragraphs 80-83). Thus, one skilled in the art would unhesitatingly envision that first duration would be the same as the retransmission time since the retransmission time cannot exceed the maximum time duration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 21, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 20 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein the first time duration is equal to or greater than a sum of the second time duration and the retransmission time.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method in which the radio network controller determines if the back-to-back allocation is needed for new data or for new retransmission. For retransmission, the allocation is extended for the duration necessary for the retransmission, wherein maximum and minimum time duration can be defined. In that case the allocated duration should not exceed the maximum duration (see page 5, paragraphs 80-83). Thus, one skilled in the art would unhesitatingly envision that first duration would be the same as the retransmission time since the retransmission time cannot exceed the maximum time duration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 23, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 16 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein a timer at a radio resource control layer of the user device controls the first time duration.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method wherein a timer is operated at a RRC layer of user equipment (i.e., when a configuration or reconfiguration procedure is invoked, the new configuration must take effect at the activation time determined by the RNC RRC) (see page 19, paragraph 556).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Regarding claim 24, Kim and Hagting disclose a method as described above (see claim 16 rejection).

Although the combination discloses a method as described, the combination fails to specifically disclose a method wherein first and second timers at a radio resource control layer of the user device control the first and second time durations, respectively.

However, Zeira discloses a handover method wherein first and second timers at a radio resource control layer of the user device control the first and second timer (see page 16, paragraph 524 and page 19, paragraph 556).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both arts to arrive at the claimed invention. A motivation for doing so would have been to provide a time period to account for the signaling delay, which may occur.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Louis Desir whose telephone number is 703-605-4312. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7799.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,996 Page 16

Art Unit: 2681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre-Louis Desir

AU 2681 06/12/2005 JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER